

Impact of New Technology on Sharecropping in Bangladesh

* **Dr. Md. Nazrul Islam**
Professor, Department of Economics,
Jatiya Kabi Kazi Nazrul Islam University,
Trishal, Mymensingh.

**Paper prepared for the
Presentation at the 18th Biennial Conference 2012
Bangladesh Economic Association, Dhaka**

Impact of New Technology on Sharecropping in Bangladesh

*Dr. Md. Nazrul Islam

The economy of Bangladesh is mainly agrarian. The sector is likely to play a vital role in achieving self-sufficiency in food-grain production. Agricultural development is essential for our survival. Agricultural development could be achieved with the proper adoption of modern inputs like irrigation technology, chemical fertilizer, HYV of seeds and pesticides either individually or in their suitable combination. The seed-fertilizer-irrigation technology, which is also known as the new technology has created great opportunities of expanding food production in Bangladesh. The diffusion of the new technology has helped in changing the nature and terms of tenancy market, impacting on the distribution of income, poverty and employment. Normally tenant farms had adopted new technology. But findings obtained by a farm the different village studies (Islam and Halim 1976, Hossian 1977, Ahmed 1981) it was not possible to reach at a clear decision regarding the relationship between the adoption of modern technology by a farm and its tenurial arrangement. The relation between the adoption of new technology by a farm and its tenurial arrangement is a controversial issue. A. Dugupta (1998, P. 144) observed that sharecroppers have little to achieved from the spread of modern technology. He also observed that the advent of modern technology has made the position of the tenants extremely vulnerable. Smith (1776) considered that the sharecropping system would ultimately disappear. Georgescu-Roegen (1969) and Bhaduri (1973) pointed out that the institution of share tenancy as a feature of precapitalist modes of production and the system of sharecropping were considered to be a barrier of new technology. While, Bardhan and Srinivasan (1971), Bardhan (1976) and Pearse (1980) did not think tenancy as an impediment to new technology. Without going to theoretical questions and debates here attempt has been made to explain the impact of new technology on share cropping in Bangladesh.

Methodology:

The study is based on secondary data. Secondary data were collected from diffeent publisedh and unpublised documents.

* Professor, Department of Economics, Jatiya Kabi Kazi Nazrul Islam University, Trishal, Mymensingh

Importance of the Study:

The results of the study may be of great use to policy makers . Extension workers may utilize the results of the study in making decisions regarding irrigation technology, share tenancy and farm employment. The results of the study have also academic importance to the teachers and the students of economics.

Results and Discussion:

In this section we shall discuss the impact of irrigation technology on share tenancy.

Impact of New Technology on Sharecropping.

Tenureship of Agricultural land:

In Bangladesh land tenure situation has undergone changes. Pure tenant farms in rural Bangladesh had been declining between 1960 and 1983-84 but it increased between 1983-84 and 1996 (M. Hossain 1999, p-36) Censuses of Agriculture and live stock (1983-84) reported that sharecropped-in land was only 16.81 percent, it increased to 21.6 percent in 1996 (census in Agriculture 1996).

The most common form of tenancy relation in rural Bangladesh is sharecropping. Under share cropping system the tenants and the landlords share the output and risk and some cases the costs too. But output and costs are not always shared equally between the parties. One important factor which had been linked with sharecropping was cultivation risk. In this context M. Glaser (1989) stated “different access conditions to irrigation water and HYV inputs leads to different levels of cultivation risk. This will affect the agricultural production structures, patterns of accumulation at household level and the rules and meaning of land tenancy”.

Ali (1989) observed that the following the introduction of modern technology in agriculture the rich farmers had distorted the rural institutions to their own interest. Ali further stated that “ “landless and small owner tenants have been forced out of the land lease market. The landlords might have thought that such tenants would not be able to use purchased inputs associated with the new technology in the rented land. On the other hand, input cost sharing has been introduced in many areas to reap the benefit of the new technology” (Ali, A.M. 1987).

Land Leasing and Operational Arrangement of cropped land by farm size groups in Bangladesh

Available data indicated that irrigators leased in more land and leased out less land than the non irrigators. M.K. Hussain (1986, P.34-35) observed that under land leasing arrangement farms when irrigated leased in 3.74 percent of their operated or cropped land and leased out 17.35 percent of their owned land and leased in 4.46% of their operated or cropped land . He also found that small farmer being more irrigation conscious have the tendency to leased in more land (on an average 0.35 areas) while they irrigated and leased out an average 0.31 areas of non irrigated land. He further said that large farms have the tendency to rent out higher proportion of their owned land because they can not manage it.

Available data indicated that large farmers in non irrigated village sharecropped in no land. But in irrigated village the large farmers sharecropped in 1.1 percent of other's land. Medium farmers sharecropped in more land (47.70%) in irrigated village, while, small farmers sharecropped in more land (64.71 percent) in non irrigated village (M.N. Islam 2002). On the other hand, small farmers sharecropped out no land in irrigated village, medium farmers in non irrigated village sharecropped out no land (M.N. Islam -2002). Hamid et. al (1982) pointed out that there had been no qualitative change in tenurial arrangements as a result of the adoption of technology. M. Hossain (1986) stated that share tenancy in Bangladesh is exploitative in nature. White (1986) stated "due to the increased cost of cultivation, owners farmers are renting out their farm land to sharecroppers.

Security of Tenure:

There are no written lease contract between the tenants and the landlords. In the absence of written contracts tenants of rural areas have no security. As a result, landlords can easily evict any tenant when they so desire. When tenants fail to satisfy the landlords can easily evict any tenant when they so desire. When tenants fail to satisfy the landlords in expanding yield rate or in using adequate modern inputs they face the consequences of eviction. M.N. Islam (2002) stated that eviction of sharecroppers for the purpose of resumption of land for self cultivation is common in irrigated village. While, it is rare in non- irrigated village. A Dugupta (1998 P. 145) pointed out that evication of tenants have become a common matter especially after the introduction of canal water irrigation. He also stated that a sharecropper who invest more on modern agricultural inputs gets a chance of securing a lease for the second time. Jansen (1979) found that land owners evicted the tenants and employed wage labourers to cultivate the land. He also stated " it is regarded as more beneficial to organize production on irrigated land with wage labourers."

Available data indicated that the short duration of sharecropping relationship M. Hossain (1986) found that about 52 percent of the sharecropping relationship had been lasting less than three years. M.N. Islam (2002) found that about 70.58 percent of the lease units have been lease for one to three years (short terms lease) in irrigated village. While 25 percent of the lease units have been lease for one to three years in non irrigated village. About 11.76 percent of the lease units have been lease for five years or more (long term lease) in irrigated village. But it is only 33 percent in non irrigated village. He further stated that sharecroppers in non-irrigated village were found to have better security than those of irrigated village, The Land Occupancy Survey of 1978 observed that duration of sharecropping relationship as reported by the sharecroppers was presented below : 26 percent of the sharecroppers had a lease contract that had lasted for less than one year, while 20 percent lease contract lasting between one to two years, 8 percent lease contract lasting between three to four years. Jansen (1987) found that 59 percent of the sharecropping relationship had lasted less than three years.

Input and Output Sharing:

In sharecropping arrangement, labour was provided in-full by the sharecroppers. But the costs of irrigation, seeds, chemical fertilizers, power tiller etc were shared by the landlords. Bayes and Sayeeduzzaman (1991, P.68) found that sharecroppers bear all the input costs and surrender half of the output to the landlords. M.N Islam (2002) observed that output shared between the sharecroppers and the landlords is a standard 50 : 50 basis. he also found that in irrigated village normal practice is to share the costs of irrigation, seeds, chemical fertilizer equally between the sharecroppers and the landlords. While in non irrigated village the practice is to share the costs of seeds, fertilizer are equally shared between the parties. Although in both the villages human labour, animal labour and manures are supplied entirely by the sharecroppers. A good number of village studies found that the landowners were sharing of costs of non labour inputs in the sharecropped land where modern varieties of rice and wheat are produced (Zaman 1973; M. Hossain 1979; BUP 1982). A Dasgupta (1998 P1 44) found that tenants surrender 50 percent output and bear all costs of production except land revenue and irrigation costs. Costs of modern inputs, human labour, animal labour etc are borne entirely by the sharecroppers.

Suggestions of Policy Implications:

1. The need for tenancy reforms is essential in Bangladesh Special attention should be given to protect the rights of sharecroppers
2. Administrative and legal measures should be taken to protect the sharecroppers
3. Absentee landlordism should be abolished.

Conclusion:

Modern technology is essential for agricultural development of Bangladesh. Introduction of irrigation technology have brought about significant change on share tenancy. Sharecroppers in rural Bangladesh have little to achieve from the spread of irrigation technology. When tenants fail to satisfy the landlords in expanding yield rate or in using adequate modern inputs they face the consequences of eviction. Eviction of tenants for the purpose of resumption of land for self cultivation is common in irrigated land. In many cases, sharecroppers bear all the non labour input costs and surrender 50 percent of the output to the landlords.

Referneces:

1. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (1999) : Census of Agriculture 1996, Government of Bangladesh, Dhaka.
2. Hossain, M (1999) : Development Polices, Economic Reforms and the Rural Economy of Bangladesh Centre for Policy Dialogue (mimco), Bangladesh.
3. Government of Bangladesh (1998) : The Fifth Five year Plan 1997-202, Ministry of Planning, Dhaka
4. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (1981) : Report on the Agricultural Census of Bangladesh, Dhaka.
5. Hossain, M (2000) : Recent Development and Structural Changes in Bangladesh Agriculture : Issues for Reviewing Stratcgies and Policies (Changes and Challenges, A Review of Bangladesh Development Centre for policy Dialogue, University Press Limited, Dhaka.
6. Geogescu-Roegan, N (1960) : “Economic Theory and Agrarian Economics” The Oxford Economic Papers, New Series Vol. 12, 1960.
7. Bhaduri, A (1973) : “ A Study of Agricultural Backwardness Under Semi feudalism”, Economic Journal, Vol. 83, India
8. Hussian M.K. (19686) A Study of the Impact of Irrigation on-use, Farm Income and Employment in Some Selected Areas in Bangladesh (Final Report), Dhaka.
9. Islam M.N. (2002) : Impact and Implications of New Irrigation Technology in Bangladesh Agriculture- A Study of Interaction Between Irrigation Technology and Agrarian Structure in Kushtia district, Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, IU, Bangladesh.
10. Hamid et al (1982) : Shallow Tube-wells Under IDA in North West Bangladesh, Department of Economics, Rajshahi University.
11. Dasgupta A. (1998) : Growth with Equity, The New Technology and Agrarian Change in Bengal, The University press Limited , Dhaka.
12. Rahman, A. (1979) : Agrarian Structure and Capital Formation: A Study of Bangladesh Agriculture with Farm level Dhaka, Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Clare College, Cambridge.
13. Zaman, M.R (1973) : Sharecropping and Economic Efficiency in Bangladesh. The Bangladesh Economic Review, No. 2, Dhaka
14. Hossain, M (1979) : Nature of Tenancy Markets in Bangladesh Journal of Social Studies No. 3, Dhaka.
15. Bangladesh Agricultural University (1975) : An Economic Analysis of Small Scale Irrigation Systems in Bangladesh. Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Statistical and Sociological Research, Bangladesh.
16. Hamid, M.A (1997) : “ A Study of the BADC Deep Tube-well Programme in the North-Western Region of Bangladesh” Rural Development Studies, Series-7, Department of Economics, Rajshahi.
17. Hossain, et. al (1984) : Economics of Irrigation, Working Paper No. 4, A Report on Low Lift Pump Irrigation in Bangladesh, Dhaka.
18. Jansen, E.G. (1987) : Rural Bangladesh: Competition for Scarce Resources, University Press Limited, Dhaka
19. Glaser, M. (1989) : Water to the Swamp? Irrigation and Patterns of Accumulation and Agrarian Changes in Bangladesh, Ph.D Thesis (unpublished), University of Bath.
20. Ali, A.M. (1987) : Impact and Implications of New Plant Technology in Agriculture-A Case Study of Selected Crops in Bangladesh, Ph.D Thesis (Unpublished), University of Sussex.